The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. EHLERS). Pursuant to section 108 of House Resolution 6, the previous question is ordered.

The question is on the engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time and was read the third time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the passage of the bill.

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the ayes appeared to have it.

Mr. SHAYS. Mr. Speaker, on that I demand the yeas and nays.

The yeas and nays were ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were—yeas 429, nays 0, not voting 5, as follows:

[Roll No. 15] YEAS—429

Abercrombie Coburn Franks (CT) Ackerman Coleman Franks (NJ) Frelinghuysen Allard Collins (GA) Andrews Collins (IL) Frisa Collins (MI) Archer Frost Armey Combest Funderburk Bachus Condit Furse Gallegly Baesler Convers Cooley Baker (CA) Ganske Baker (LA) Costello Gejdenson Baldacci Cox Gekas Ballenger Coyne Gephardt Barcia Cramer Geren Barr Gibbons Crane Barrett (NE) Gilchrest Crapo Barrett (WI) Cremeans Gillmor Bartlett Cubin Gilman Barton Cunningham Gonzalez Bass Danner Goodlatte Bateman Davis Goodling Becerra de la Garza Gordon Beilenson Deal Goss Bentsen DeFazio Graham Bereuter DeLauro Green DeLay Greenwood Berman Dellums Gunderson Bilbray Deutsch Gutierrez Bilirakis Diaz-Balart Gutknecht Bishop Dickey Bliley Dicks Hall (TX) Dingell Blute Hamilton Boehlert Dixon Hancock Doggett Dooley Boehner Hansen Harman Bonilla Doolittle Bonior Hastings (FL) Bono Dornan Borski Doyle Hastings (WA) Boucher Hayes Hayworth Dreier Brewster Duncan Browder Hefley Dunn Brown (CA) Durbin Hefner Brown (OH) Edwards Heineman Brownback Ehlers Herger Bryant (TN) Ehrlich Hilleary Bryant (TX) Emerson Hilliarď Bunn Engel Hinchey Bunning English Hobson Hoekstra Burr Ensign Burton Eshoo Hoke Buyer Evans Holden Callahan Everett Horn Hostettler Calvert Ewing Camp Canady Farr Fattah Houghton Hover Hunter Cardin Fawell Castle Fazio Hutchinson Fields (LA) Chabot Hyde Chambliss Fields (TX) Inglis Chapman Filner Istook Chenoweth Flake Jackson-Lee Christensen Flanagan Jacobs Chrysler Foglietta Jefferson Johnson (CT) Foley Clay Clayton Forbes Johnson (SD) Clement Ford Johnson, E.B. Clinger Fowler Johnson, Sam Clyburn Johnston Frank (MA) Coble Jones

Kanjorski Moorhead Serrano Kaptur Moran Shadegg Morella Kelly Murtha Shays Kennedy (MA) Myers Shuster Kennedy (RI) Myrick Sisisky Kennelly Kildee Nadler Skaggs Neal Skeen Nethercutt Skelton King Neumann Slaughter Smith (MI) Kingston Nev Norwood Smith (NJ) Klink Nussle Smith (TX) Oberstar Smith (WA) Klug Knollenberg Obey Solomon Kolbe Olver Souder LaFalce Ortiz Spence LaHood Orton Spratt Lambert-Lincoln Owens Stearns Lantos Oxley Stenholm Largent Packard Stockman Latham Pallone Stokes LaTourette Parker Studds Laughlin Pastor Stump Lazio Paxon Stupak Leach Payne (NJ) Talent Levin Payne (VA) Tanner Lewis (CA) Pelosi Tate Lewis (GA) Peterson (FL) Tauzin Taylor (MS) Peterson (MN) Lewis (KY) Taylor (NC) Lightfoot Petri Pickett Tejeda Lipinski Pombo Thomas Thompson Pomerov Livingston LoBiondo Porter Thornberry Lofgren Portman Thornton Longley Poshard Thurman Lowey Pryce Quillen Tiahrt Torkildsen Lucas Luther Quinn Torres Maloney Radanovich Torricelli Manton Rahall Towns Traficant Manzullo Ramstad Markey Rangel Tucker Martini Reed Upton Mascara Regula Velazquez Reynolds Richardson Matsui Vento McCarthy Visclosky McCollum Riggs Volkmer McCrery Rivers Roberts Vucanovich Waldholtz McDade McDermott Roemer Walker Rogers Rohrabacher McHale Walsh Wamp McHugh McInnis Ros-Lehtinen Ward McIntosh Rose Waters McKeon Roth Watt (NC) Roukema McKinney Waxman Weldon (FL) Roybal-Allard McNulty Weldon (PA) Meehan Royce Meek Rush Weller Menendez Sabo White Metcalf Salmon Whitfield Sanders Wicker Meyers Mfume Sanford Williams Mica Sawyer Wilson Miller (CA) Miller (FL) Saxton Wise Scarborough Wolf Mineta Schaefer Woolsey Minge Mink Schiff Wyden Schroeder Wvnn Moakley Schumer Young (AK) Molinari Scott Young (FL)

NOT VOTING—4

Seastrand

Sensenbrenner

Brown (FL) Stark Martinez Yates

Mollohan

Montgomery

□ 0146

Zeliff Zimmer

So the bill was passed.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. SHAYS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days within which to revise and extend their remarks, and

include therein extraneous material, on H.R. 1, the bill just passed.

POINT OF ORDER

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, a point of order. I would think that that motion would be that Members would have 5 days to extend their remarks, but under the new rules I do not see how they could revise remarks unmade, so Members would be allowed to extend. But I think under the new rule giving Members the chance to review unmade remarks is out of order, and they could only extend in a different typeface.

Mr. SOLOMON. Mr. Speaker, might I be heard on the point of order?

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. LAZIO of New York). The Chair would advise that Members' remarks can only be revised for technical reasons.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. But my parliamentary inquiry, Mr. Speaker, is how can a Member make a technical correction to things they never said yet? If we are taking this request, it is Members who have not said anything yet, and it would let them put something into the RECORD as if they said something, and under the new rules all they can do, it seems to me, is to extend. I would hope this is not allowing someone to say something they already said, and I just do not want Members to have the wrong impression.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair advised the body earlier that the changes cannot be substantive, that they can only be technical in nature.

Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Connecticut?

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. Speaker, reserving the right to object, as was stated from the Chair earlier, the Committee on House Oversight will promulgate rules and language to deal with this. The gentleman from Massachusetts is correct. We are in a slight conundrum right now because we are utilizing words that have been used historically, the classic revise and extend. More properly I think it should be correct and extend. And although we are in this situation now where we are still using the what would be archaic language, we will provide the appropriate language and the structure for dealing with that early tomorrow morning for the gentleman.

Mr. FRANK of Massachusetts. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman, and I gather revolutions would be confusing even to those who are trying to promulgate them so I appreciate that.

Mr. THOMAS. Order will come out of the structure, I assure the gentleman from Massachusetts. And I will not object.

Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Connecticut?

There was no objection.